

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/653,922	Applicant(s) INAGE, AKIO	
	Examiner Hugh B. Thompson II	Art Unit 3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Ms. Catherine Voorhees.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
 n/a

 Claims discussed:
 1,2,4

 Prior art documents discussed:
 n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agrees to amend claim 1 to reflect a manual locking mechanism "for locking" the sliding door. Claims 2 and 4 will be amended to correct positive recitations of the vehicle body.


Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)